

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,487	09/05/2003	Jeff Rubenstein	001404.04	8699	
33940	7590 11/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JEFFREY S. WHITTLE		•	PHAM, TO	PHAM, TOAN NGOC	
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON P.O. BOX 61389			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 77208-1389		2632		
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-			
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		0/656,487	RUBENSTEIN, JEFF			
Office Action Sum	mary	xaminer	Art Unit			
	<u></u>	oan N Pham	2632			
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less. If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended particles and patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) the of this communication. Is than thirty (30) days, a reply with the maximum statutory period will a thereore months after the mailing date). In no event, however, may a reply be time nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in						
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed and some significant significa	is/are withdrawn wed. ed. ected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	•	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(•	is required if the drawing(s) is ob niner. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of to 3. Copies of the certification from the	None of: he priority documents h he priority documents h ed copies of the priority International Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in Applicati documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Faper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,487

Art Unit: 2632

Claim Objections

Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 33 is dependent upon it self. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,621,422. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,487

Art Unit: 2632

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 23-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 23-34 of U. S. Patent No. 6,621,422 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A form completing enhancer to generate and populate a plurality of preselected incident forms on an officer display attached to the vehicle computer; a quick mapper responsive to offer call dispatch data; detecting law enforcement data received from a law enforcement database in communication with the vehicle; parsing the selected law enforcement data for preselected data fields; and generating an incident location responsive to the incident location data.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan N Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,487

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 18, 2004

TOAN N. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER